The assignment given: Summarize good models (can have more than one) with all of the discussion that a non-technical audience needs to understand the model and how it can be used; assume the audience is well versed in the data and context (but you still need to be clear about variable definitions and terms you use), but has limited understanding of statistical analysis/tools.

Your submission is being evaluated based on the following:

1. (∼ 8%) Revisions:

    Sufficient revision based on feedback?   NO ———- YES
    Final draft should be notably improved from the first draft.

    Sufficient number of personal revisions?   _____   NO ———- YES
    Evaluation is based on quality of the paper and effort invested in the revision process.

2. (∼ 12%) Clarity of presentation (easy to read) to an external audience (aka management/decision maker)

    F —— D^- —— D —— D^+ —— C^- —— C —— C^+ —— B^- —— B —— B^+ —— A^- —— A —— A^+

3. (∼ 40%) Sufficient information (both technical and non-technical) was presented (models were all well-defined, audience has all they need to understand the model and how it can be used)

    F —— D^- —— D —— D^+ —— C^- —— C —— C^+ —— B^- —— B —— B^+ —— A^- —— A —— A^+

4. (∼ 40%) Clear/compelling justification of the models selected

    F —— D^- —— D —— D^+ —— C^- —— C —— C^+ —— B^- —— B —— B^+ —— A^- —— A —— A^+

Overall grade:

    F —— D^- —— D —— D^+ —— C^- —— C —— C^+ —— B^- —— B —— B^+ —— A^- —— A —— A^+

Penalty: poor presentation, failure to follow directions, disproportionate contribution to work, etc.

_____ / 150 points