

Using the AI tool of your choice, copy the following prompt into the tool. You can spend up to 20 minutes refining the response using AI. Record all prompts provided to the AI engine, and copy your final AI response into Word, and print it out.

Using a not-black pen, “grade” it (add comments and feedback in light of the assigned task as if it was a student submission and you were the professor).

Critique the response using the following thoughts: does the response reflect your own perspective? does the response reflect biblical principles accurately? are they biblically shallow or using proof texts? Are foundational issues articulated and addressed? or are answers superficial?

Grade the AI response using the provided rubric. Provide a  $\frac{3}{4} - 1\frac{1}{2}$  page single-spaced summary of your perceived value of using AI to generate a response. Be sure to identify risks with using AI to generate material in a professional space in your summary.

Submit a clean pdf of the prompts and AI response with your feedback, a pdf of the scored rubric, and a pdf of your reflection/summary on the use of AI in Canvas.

You do not need to generate your own original response to the prompt below. You will be graded on the quality of your evaluation of the AI generated work and your reflection and consideration of the use of AI in this context.

---

Consider Key Ethical concerns Facing the Actuarial Profession published by the American Academy of Actuaries. Peruse the document and read through sections I-III carefully.

- Based on your review of the above document, your experience with content in your courses, and any other research you do into actuarial careers discuss the kinds of ethical issues you personally anticipate facing in the work place in contrast to your experience in education. ( $\frac{1}{2}$  – 1 page)
- Consider Issues # 6, 15, 14. Which of these would be the hardest for you personally to deal with if a colleague/boss is encouraging you to do it? What biblical perspective could help you be prepared to make the right decision in these situations? ( $\frac{1}{2}$  – 1 page)
- Uninsurable simply means “not suitable or eligible to be insured.” (Merriam Webster, accessed 8/21/2023) Using a biblical perspective, address the idea of uninsurability. ( $1\frac{1}{2}$  – 2 pages)

## REFERENCES

*Key Ethical Concerns Facing the Actuarial Profession.* American Academy of Actuaries, April 2015, [https://actuary.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/11/Key\\_Ethical\\_Concerns\\_Facing\\_the\\_Actuarial\\_Profession.pdf](https://actuary.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/11/Key_Ethical_Concerns_Facing_the_Actuarial_Profession.pdf).

“Uninsurable.” *Merriam-Webster.com Dictionary*, Merriam-Webster, <https://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/uninsurable>. Accessed 21 Aug. 2023.

DUE ONLINE 9/30/25, 11:59PM

Expectations:

- Paper guidelines: typed, 12 point font, single spaced, no title page (brief header, not included in page length), page length between  $2\frac{1}{2}$  – 4 pages plus bibliography (if needed)
  - An intro and conclusion for the whole paper should be included, and transition sentences should be employed (this is the usual expectation).
  - All sources used should be included using a standard citation method (MLA, APA, etc.) and appropriate in-text citations should also be used.
  - All opinions/conclusions should be clearly articulated and supported within the text of your paper. A “yes/no” answer is not acceptable.
  - A biblical perspective always includes a specific reference to scripture, the principle taught in that reference, and an explicit connection to the conclusion you are drawing.
  - All academic integrity policies are in effect (see the syllabus). You may discuss ideas with each other, but each person is to write an individual paper.
-

\_\_\_\_\_/ 25% Discuss the kinds of ethical issues you personally anticipate facing in the work place. ( $\frac{1}{2}$  – 1 page)

realistic nature of the response:

A (demonstrates good awareness of the actuarial/data science profession)

C (generic response that would apply to any profession)

insight into how the workplace differs from the classroom

A (specific, concrete details)

C (generic response that would apply to any profession)

\_\_\_\_\_/ 25% Consider Issues # 6, 15, 14. Which of these would be the hardest for you personally to deal with if a colleague is encouraging you to do it? What biblical perspective could help you be prepared to make the right decision in these situations? ( $\frac{1}{2}$  – 1 page)

demonstrates self-awareness

A (addresses concrete strengths and weaknesses)

C (generic responses, no clarity on both strengths and weaknesses)

robust approach, biblically grounded

A (addresses foundational principles, clearly connects principles to behaviors)

C (tends towards proof texting or pat answers)

\_\_\_\_\_/ 50% Uninsurable simply means “not suitable or eligible to be insured.” (Merriam Webster, accessed 8/21/2023) Using a biblical perspective, address the idea of uninsurability. ( $1\frac{1}{2}$  – 2 pages)

explanation of uninsurability

A (clear explanation of both sides of the discussion, including merits and concerns)

C (demonstrates clear bias towards one side, addresses little/no nuance)

clearly biblically grounded

A (biblical principles are clearly connected to merits and concern)

C (relevant verses are presented, but not well/clearly connected)

– \_\_\_\_/ 0% Failure to meet guidelines (pg length, citations, English, intro and conclusion, transition sentences, supported opinions/conclusions, etc.); lack of clarity or professional presentation

\_\_\_\_\_ % = \_\_\_\_/ 90 pts

\_\_\_\_\_/ 5% Generated a “good” (coherent? relevant?) response from AI as demonstrated by the response and the prompts

\_\_\_\_\_/ 35% Thorough and thoughtful student critique of AI response

\_\_\_\_\_/ 20% Student grading of the AI response (with the rubric) was reasonable, appropriate, and supported by the critique/feedback provided

\_\_\_\_\_/ 40% Summary of the value and use of AI addressing professional risks

– \_\_\_\_/ 0% Failure to meet guidelines (pg length, citations, English, intro and conclusion, transition sentences, supported opinions/conclusions, etc.); lack of clarity or professional presentation

\_\_\_\_\_ % = \_\_\_\_/ 90 pts

---